

ALBERT RANDOLPH,
Movant,
v.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.

This matter is before the Court on the government's Motion to Produce Affidavit. For the following reasons, the motion will be denied.


The government quotes Wright v. United States, 2008 WL 4276206 (D. Del. Sept. 17, 2008), for the proposition that while an evidentiary hearing could be conducted on the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel, “the ‘missing’ information can be obtained more expeditiously by requiring Movant’s former defense attorney to provide an affidavit responding to the allegations raised in his § 2255 motion.” Id. at * 3. Wright is procedurally distinguishable from the instant case

because there the court had denied two of the movant's three claims. Apparently on its own motion, the court ordered former defense counsel to submit an affidavit concerning the remaining allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel, in lieu of the court holding an evidentiary hearing on the claim. The Court declines to follow Wright in the present context. The Motion to Produce Affidavit should therefore be denied.

In addition, the government makes only conclusory assertions that it requires an affidavit from defense counsel. The government merely states that it believes defense counsel has information that is relevant to the ineffective assistance of counsel claims movant raises in his § 2255 motion. However, the government, using other sources of relevant information, has already filed its answer without an affidavit from defense counsel. In its Motion to Produce Affidavit, the government has not adequately explained what additional information it requires from defense counsel such that she should be compelled to produce an affidavit against her former client.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the government's Motion to Produce Affidavit is **DENIED**. [Doc. 13]

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Charles A. Shaw", written over a horizontal line.

CHARLES A. SHAW
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated this 10th day of July, 2012.